

## ▸ 1. “Defending the Defense of the Faith”

Dr. John Warwick Montgomery, “Sensible Christianity” [an audio series]

Outline by Mary Kay Connolly (I.S.O.T., Spring 1991); ed. Jim Coffee (Concordia U. Irvine, Spring 1994); ed. Jenn Herzberg (Concordia U. Irvine, 2001)

### I. ▸ **The Need for Apologetics Today**

- A. ▸ Three words which characterize our contemporary situation: “secular,” “global” and “pluralistic”
  - 1. ▸ “Global”
    - a. ▸ We now live in McLuhan’s “global village.”
    - b. ▸ People are “bumping up against each other” more today than they ever have.
    - c. ▸ Hence, a wide diversity of viewpoints, of opinions is inevitable, unavoidable.
  - 2. ▸ “Pluralistic”
    - a. ▸ People are not only bumping up against one another [but also] but
    - b. ▸ are a wide variety of beliefs; is no longer a “homogenous situation”
  - 3. ▸ “Secular”
    - a. ▸ is *the* most characteristic word describing our society
    - b. ▸ Classical Christian values no longer dominant in our culture
- B. ▸ C. S. Lewis spoke of a “Great Divide” in Western history.
  - 1. ▸ Lewis placed this “Great Divide” in the 19th century (French Revolution as the dividing point)
    - a. ▸ *Prior* to the “Great Divide,” Western people “thought Christian;” thought in Biblical & Christian categories and used Christian terminology; *after* the “Great Divide,” they did not.
    - b. ▸ Even atheist Thomas Hobbes, arguing his atheism in *The Leviathan*, used almost exclusively Christian terminology!
      - i. ▸ (had he not, his writings would not have been understood by his readers!)
      - ii. ▸ 17th century political theory reads like the King James Bible!
    - c. ▸ Today, situation is the reverse.
      - i. ▸ Only secular terminology is understandable to the average reader, [and]
      - ii. ▸ Christian now has to express his faith to the non-Christian by using secular terminology.

### II. ▸ **Three Centuries of Modern Secularism**

- A. ▸ Logical progression:
  - 18th century: *Bible* died → 19th century: *God* died → 20th century: *Man* died.
- B. ▸ 18th century: Confidence in the Bible died
  - 1. ▸ beginnings of modern secularism
  - 2. ▸ “Deism”: the attempt to hang on to Christian ethics & morality after “throwing away” the Bible
    - a. ▸ “Book of Nature” replaced Book of Scripture

- b. ▶ example: the Jefferson Bible:
  - i. ▶ All miracles were (literally!) cut out; Jefferson retained *only* the moral teachings.
- c. ▶ Thomas Paine's *The Age of Reason*
  - i. ▶ All we really need for revelation is the natural world (the "book of nature"); we do *not* need the "Book of Scripture."
- C. ▶ 19th century: Confidence in God died
  - 1. ▶ was no longer evident to secular man that there was a God, or that morality was based on any absolutes
  - 2. ▶ God no longer so clearly visible in nature; 19th century men looked at nature and, instead of seeing God there, they saw "the survival of the fittest!"
    - a. ▶ "Might Made Right!"
  - 3. ▶ Friederich Nietzsche: "God is dead!"
    - a. ▶ The "Übermensch" (super man) will transvaluate all values, create his own morality, create values for himself.
    - b. ▶ Jesus represented weakness (said that the poor, weak will inherit the earth); Nietzsche said the *mighty* will prevail.
- D. ▶ 20th century: Confidence in man died
  - 1. ▶ Hitler (an Übermensch?) established his own morality and six million Jews were destroyed.
  - 2. ▶ This is a consequence of getting rid of God and getting rid of man
- E. ▶ Note the logical progression: death of Bible, death of God, death of man
  - 1. ▶ is not accidental
  - 2. ▶ Without the Bible, you eliminate the clearest picture of God.
  - 3. ▶ Without God, man's importance is a matter of man's own assessment.
  - 4. ▶ Only the strongest survive; the stronger impress their values upon the weaker.
  - 5. ▶ Destruction of man in the 20th century greater than in all previously recorded history
- F. ▶ Moral: Logically, if you get rid of the Word of God, you get rid of man!
  - 1. ▶ Without the Word of God (the clearest picture of God), the picture of God is destroyed and man is doomed
  - 2. ▶ Seals his fate, dooms him to extinction
- III. ▶ **Contemporary Secularism's Failure: "The Age of Anxiety"**
  - A. ▶ The non-Christian today knows that secularism has "sold him short," not made good on its promises.
  - B. ▶ Pendulum always swings from *arrogance* (the "halls of progress") to existential *despair*.
    - 1. ▶ Luther compared the history of the human race to a drunken man attempting to climb on a horse: first gets up on one side (arrogance) only to fall off the other (despair); then the cycle repeats itself
  - C. ▶ 19th century arrogance

1. ▶ Was an almost universal confidence that elimination of the God of the Bible would make little difference; science & technology would produce a millennium on earth.
2. ▶ Then two World Wars changed people's minds.
3. ▶ People of our day no less sinners than were earlier men.
  - a. ▶ Science and technology just make us more effective at destroying each other!
    - i. ▶ are "more efficient" sinners
4. ▶ "The Great Exposition" (London, mid 19th century)
  - a. ▶ J.G. Farrel's *The Siege of Krishnapur*
    - i. ▶ belief in inevitable progress
    - ii. ▶ Indian mutiny had same effect as First & Second World Wars did on people in general.
      - (1). ▶ Man really *isn't* moral & clever.
5. ▶ The "train that could lay its own track"
  - a. ▶ problem: was self-defeating (a train needs the stability of well-laid track)
  - b. ▶ Without a fixed track, it is no more stable than a "non-train!"
  - c. ▶ 19th century thought man could "lay his own tracks in front of him" (no need for any permanent foundation)
- D. ▶ Reaction: Existential despair
  1. ▶ reaction to drop in confidence in progress: pendulum swung to the *opposite* side!
  2. ▶ 19th century optimism ("All answers would be found by secular man.")
  3. ▶ Existential position: "No answers possible"
  4. ▶ World offers *no* explanation(s)
  5. ▶ Only "meaning" one has is the sense that one self-imposes on the world through one's own experience.

#### IV. ▶ **The Situation Today**

- A. ▶ Inherited capital of belief in God & Bible has run out.
- B. ▶ Valuation of man & ethics has run out.
- C. ▶ Science & technology as "answer" recognized (at least by some) as no more than an ephemeral dream.
- D. ▶ People today desperately searching for answers to their questions
  1. ▶ "Substitute answers" abound ("Lo, here! Lo, there!")
    - a. ▶ Ogden Nash's poem, "The Seven Spiritual Ages of Mrs. Marmaduke Moore"
      - b. ▶ She ends up in Bahai!
        - i. ▶ a symbol of our time: people looking for answers...there are too many!
        - ii. ▶ our problem today is not too few answers, but *too many!*
  2. ▶ Result: It is mandatory under these circumstances that Christians be prepared to give reasons for being a Christian
    - a. ▶ is *not* enough today to just "shout louder than all the others!"

#### V. ▶ **Proclamation in a Pluralistic Society**

- A. ▶ 100 years ago, there were two predominant kinds of people: (1) those who knew the Bible was true and, more or less, lived consistently with it, and (2) those who knew the Bible was true and did *not* live consistently with it (or who rejected Christianity).
  - 1. ▶ Problem was simply to convince people to be consistent with that which they already knew to be true
  - 2. ▶ Christian could simply pull out a book of Bible verses and quote to a person the verse which applied (e.g., R.A. Torrey’s *Handbook of Christian Answers*)
- B. ▶ But today, one finds many different systems.
  - 1. ▶ People of today’s society are faced with a seemingly infinite number of choices.
    - a. ▶ are many different worldviews, belief systems on the market
  - 2. ▶ You pull out your Bible or book of verses, and your friend will pull out the *Bhavad Gita!*

## VI. ▶ **Two Christian Reactions to Secularism**

- A. ▶ Theological liberalism’s response: “If you can’t beat ‘em, join ‘em!”
  - 1. ▶ a “chameleon theology,” constantly changing as quickly as the cultural norms change
    - a. ▶ As quickly as the secular articles change, so does liberal theology!
    - b. ▶ Anything presented by secular society as “the answer,” liberal theologians adopt, working these secular ideas into their schemata.
    - c. ▶ Theological liberals always remake the content, the message, to fit the current situation.
  - 2. ▶ Examples of liberals fitting Christianity to the social scene:
    - a. ▶ When culture enamored of evolutionism, Christianity described by liberals as “an evolutionary religion” (Caird, *The Evolution of Religion*)
    - b. ▶ When culture became fascinated with existentialism, Christianity described by liberals as basically “existential”—a religion that deals with personal despair.
    - c. ▶ When race relation issues fill the newspapers, Christianity described by liberals as, at root, “a theology of racial equality.”
    - d. ▶ When culture is involved in revolutions, i.e., Vietnam, Christianity becomes a “theology of liberation,” etc.
  - 3. ▶ Liberals always understand well the current situation, *but* they have lost any eternal message, any concept of absolute remedy.
    - a. ▶ Christianity, in essence, loses its identity; it is “blended into” the secular world.
    - b. ▶ Result: people become indifferent to Christianity
      - i. ▶ recognize that (as they hear it from liberals, at least) Christianity seems to have nothing to offer
      - ii. ▶ Liberal theology continues to grow weaker and weaker.
        - (1). ▶ Attendance at liberal churches is declining; seminaries declining in enrollment.
    - c. ▶ Negative critique of liberalism: Peter de Vries, *The Mackerel Plaza*

- i. ▶ Minister has a pulpit with each of four legs made of a different kind of fruitwood (to symbolize our inability to harmonize the materials found in the four Gospels!)
- ii. ▶ Sermon: “The final proof of God’s omnipotence is that He need not exist in order to save us!”
- iii. ▶ When attendance declining at St. Paul’s Cathedral in London, canons announced in newspaper that they would parachute off the top of the cathedral!
  - (1). ▶ London newspaper response: “If God isn’t dead, maybe He wishes He were!”
- d. ▶ John Updike’s *Rabbit, Run*
  - i. ▶ critique of contemporary American culture
  - ii. ▶ young Rabbit, a former high-school basketball star
  - iii. ▶ life of running, but without direction
  - iv. ▶ Rabbit has no values, meaning
  - v. ▶ Rev. Eccles (ecclesiology!) desires to help Rabbit, befriends him, listens to him, spends hours with him, plays golf with him—but has no worthwhile answers for him
  - vi. ▶ Conservative Lutheran pastor irrelevant to Rabbit too—but for different reasons.
- B. ▶ Christian “Fundamentalists’ Response: “If you can’t beat ‘em, separate from them!”
  - 1. ▶ felt they could not fight evolutionary theory, Hegelian philosophy, etc.
  - 2. ▶ became “sociological fundamentalism” (the attempt to protect believers against the world in which they lived)
    - a. ▶ threw up walls (via “blue laws”) to protect the believing community
      - i. ▶ (no card playing, drinking of alcohol, theatre, etc.)
      - ii. ▶ Point: those activities which were associated with the saloon in frontier times (prostitution, cigar smoking, drunkenness, card playing, etc. all took place there)
      - iii. ▶ Revivalist preachers were correct in locating sin there—but these activities later became entirely *separately* from the saloon.
      - iv. ▶ convinces people that you became a Christian through *not* participating in certain activities
  - 3. ▶ invented linguistic barriers by which they could distinguish those “inside” (fundamentalists) from those “outside” (non-Christians)
    - a. ▶ Fundamentalists developed a “specialized vocabulary.”
      - i. ▶ example: “fellowship” used as a verb—only by fundamentalists
        - (1). ▶ In the rest of society word is, of course, a noun (“I have fellowship at St. Peter’s.”)
      - ii. ▶ example: use of the word “just” in prayer—“Just help me, Lord”
      - iii. ▶ Such things tell the non-Christian that if he subscribes to this odd “lingo” (behavior), he will be saved, viewed as righteous before God.

- (1). ▶ is typical “ghetto phenomenon”
  - (2). ▶ like those immigrants who feared being “eaten up” by the culture
    - (a). ▶ reaction: retained their own diet
    - (b). ▶ reaction: retained use of the “mother tongue”
    - (c). ▶ reaction: separated themselves & their children from the general culture
  - (3). ▶ Result: younger generation yearns to break free, totally identifies with the culture in order to escape extreme localization
    - (a). ▶ Christian phenomenon of “preacher’s kid” who, along with abandoning extreme fundamentalist peculiarities, abandons the Gospel
- C. ▶ Wise apologists’ response: adopt *neither* of the above positions. Instead, “Beat ‘em!”
- 1. ▶ is important to realize that the *answer* to the non-Christian’s questions lie within *Christianity*—not in secularism or in anything that secularism has to offer
    - a. ▶ arguments & evidence lie on the side of historic Christianity
    - b. ▶ Today’s secularism is in deep trouble (is like the emperor in “The Emperor’s New Clothes”—stark naked!)
      - i. ▶ But everybody is too scared to say so!
  - 2. ▶ recognize that *both* the liberal and the fundamentalist viewpoints operate out of *fear*
    - a. ▶ Liberals fear secular culture so much that they see no other alternative than to capitulate to it.
    - b. ▶ Fundamentalists are scared to death to the point that they feel that they must isolate themselves, protect themselves from the secular world’s influence at all costs.
  - 3. ▶ Our situation today: Secularism has risen to a point of prominence, and Christians have an excellent opportunity right now to present the Christian faith on its own terms.
    - a. ▶ begins with the elimination of our fear of the secular culture around us
    - b. ▶ We eliminate this fear by thoroughly understanding the secularist’s world-view
      - i. ▶ is accomplished through hard intellectual work and discipline